

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/820,204 04/07/2004 Kevin M. Lewandowski 58708US004 7408 07/22/2004 32692 7590 **EXAMINER** 3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY ZALUKAEVA, TATYANA PO BOX 33427 ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1713

DATE MAILED: 07/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			0
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/820,204	LEWANDOWSKI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tatyana Zalukaeva	1713	
The MAILING DATE of this communical Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communi  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of 1f NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statute  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a cation.  ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thin the private of the control of	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).	
Status			
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of the communication (s) filed of the commun</li></ul>	☐ This action is non-final.     allowance except for formal mat		
Disposition of Claims			
4)  Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 1-4 and 11-13 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) 5-10,14 and 15 is/are objected. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objectio			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A he priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	_		
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-</li> </ol>	4) Linterview S	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>04/2003</u> .	5) Notice of Ir 6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/820,204

Art Unit: 1713

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Double Patenting**

1. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 2. Claims 1-4 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-4 of copending Application No. 10/807,007. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.
- 3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/820,204

Art Unit: 1713

Claims 11-13 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 19-21 of copending Application No. 10,807,007. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because azlactone of each of the recited polymer are obvious variants of each other as being opened and closed ring azaalactones.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

## Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 5-10, 14, 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

None of the prior art references discloses or suggests the polymer having two terminal groups as appears in the above identified claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tatyana Zalukaeva whose telephone number is (571) 272-1115. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 - 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (571) 272-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1713

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tatyana Zalukaeva Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713

July 16, 2004